HUMANUS DISCOURSE Vol. 6. NO 3. 2026
ISSN 2787-0308 (ONLINE)

Artificial Intelligence in Education, Industry, and Skills Development through the lens of Nigeria

Babatunde Adedibu[1]
Professor of Church History and Mission Studies
Department of Religious Studies and Philosophy,
Redeemer's University, Ede, Osun State.
Department of Religion and Theology,
University of the Western Cape,
South Africa.

Samson Apantaku
Redeemed Christian Theological College,
Affiliate of Redeemer's University,
Ede, Osun State

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a driving force for change in various fields through new ways of generating, sharing, and using knowledge. In education, industry, and skills development, AI is viewed not only as a technological advancement but also as a catalyst for changes in teaching methods and the reorganisation of work between humans and machines. This article is set against the backdrop of the meteoric growth of AI-supported tools, including adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring applications, predictive analytics, and business process automation, which are currently transforming traditional approaches to learning and work. These innovations have shifted the main arguments toward issues of inclusiveness and the future role of investment in human capacity amid heightened international competition. The study employs a qualitative methodology, which involves critical reviews of recent empirical literature and policy reviews, along with selected case studies from diverse contexts, to triangulate the opportunities and challenges of AI implementation in education and industry. This study is situated within the context of socio-technical systems theory, on the one hand, and human capital theory, which provides insight into the interplay between technological change, organisational structure, human skills, and broader socio-economic processes. Furthermore, the study offersa content analysis of changing pedagogical delivery modes through AI interventions in industrial productivity and skills acquisition, driven by digitalisation. The article concludes that the integration of AI should be initiated and sustained by ethical responsibility, inclusivity, and adaptability. It requires policymakers, educators, and industry leaders to align AI strategies with their long-term goals for sustainable skills development, equitable access, and socio-economic resilience.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Education; Industry; Skills Development; Human Capital

Introduction

[1] adedibu@run.edu.ng

© humanusdiscourse@gmail.com , http://humanusdiscourse.website2.me

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is acclaimed as the primary technological innovation of the twenty-first century, affecting all aspects of human endeavour. Like previous waves of disruptive innovation, it often draws parallels with electricity or the internet in its capacity to transform economies, alter social relations, and change the way knowledge is produced and applied.[2] In education, industry, and skills development, AI has already moved beyond its initial phase of experimentation to become part of organisational strategies, pedagogical practices, and labour market policies. In this article, we aim to explore some of these intersections, focusing particularly on the opportunities and challenges AI may bring regarding sustainable skills development within a broader socio-economic landscape. The growing needs for personalised learning paths and efficient modes of delivery have necessitated the entry of AI into education. Emerging tools include intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive assessment platforms, and predictive learning analytics, which enable educators to anticipate students' needs, track progress in real time, and support differentiation .[3] The provision of these systems seems to offer the potential to teach equity, as lessons can be customised to each student's pace and style. This is also where the issues of surveillance and privacy come into play, along with the instrumentalisation of education as a mere metric.

In the industry, AI has been largely productive so far. It is applied to predictive maintenance in manufacturing and logistics, to customer engagement in the service industry, and to algorithmic trading in finance.[4] This has led to significant job displacement, with enormous potential for efficiency gains. That was the core reason for sparking the debate on the future of work and on whether today's education system equips workers with the right competencies.[5] Consequently, skills development is another critical dimension that ensures no worker is left behind as the digital economy continues to evolve rapidly.

Global policy frameworks, particularly at UNESCO and the OECD, have reiterated the dual narrative of hope and peril about AI. As noted by UNESCO, AI can improve inclusive education, but access to technologies across different places may exacerbate existing inequalities.[6] This was also considered by the OECD in a Governance review for innovation in ethics and social responsibility.[7] These debates make clear that, though AI is often seen as a mere technological

[2]Brynjolfsson, Erik, and Andrew McAfee. *Machine, Platform, Crowd: Harnessing Our Digital Future*. New York: W. W. Norton, 2017. 14
[3] Selwyn, Neil. *Should Robots Replace Teachers? AI and the Future of Education*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 2019. 42
[4] Bughin, Jacques, Jeongmin Seong, James Manyika, Michael Chui, and Raoul Joshi. *Notes from the AI Frontier: Insights from Hundreds of Use Cases*. McKinsey Global Institute, 2018. 19
[5]Technology Will Transform the Work of Human Experts. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2015. 112
[6] UNESCO. "AI and Education: Guidance for Policy-Makers". Paris: UNESCO, 2021: 5.
[7]OECD. "State of Implementation of the OECD AI Principles: Insights from National AI Policies." *OECD Digital* Economy Papers No. 311. Paris: OECD Publishing, 2021: 17. https://doi.org/10.1787/1cd40a9e-en.

© humanusdiscourse@gmail.com , http://humanusdiscourse.website2.me

artefact, it should be conceptualised as a socio-technical entity that interacts with cultural norms, institutional structures, and governance frameworks.
This study draws on two theoretical frameworks that are somewhat related. The first is the socio-technical systems theory; the other is the human capital theory. In divergence from socio-technical systems theory, technological innovations cannot be explained outside the frame of reference of the social conditions that introduced them. Technology defines and is defined by organisational practice, cultural values, and human interaction.[8] AI should therefore recognise the fact that algorithms, platforms, and intelligent systems are institutionalised within arrangements that condition their use and impact. For instance, an AI-enabled learning management system will yield different results in contexts with robust digital infrastructure than in contexts with inadequate connectivity and technical skills.

Human capital theory, therefore, is the one that best describes or explains the contribution of education and skills to economic growth. As noted by Becker, investment in education and training yields long-term returns through increased productivity not only for individuals but also for societies.[9] Meanwhile, AI heightens these dynamics by requiring new skill sets, including data literacy, computational thinking, and interdisciplinary problem-solving, among others, to compete successfully in a digital economy.[10] Simultaneously, the exclusion risk highlighted by human capital theory: since most are not provided with high-quality education and training, they would be left out of highly automated labour markets. By synthesising these frameworks, the article situates AI as both a technological artefact and an agent of socio-economic change. This presents an opportunity to appreciate how AI is presently transforming modes of educational delivery, industrial productivity, and all intervening ways of developing skills that may not be linear or coextensive.

Despite all the optimism about AI, a significant gap exists between what it is capable of and what has been realised in education and industry. In most places, this results in uneven integration of AI due to differences in infrastructure, resources, and even policy priorities across contexts.[11] Besides, while AI promises to democratise access to information, in reality, its deployment often perpetuates or exacerbates existing inequities, as most of the population lacks access to digital technologies.[12]Also, there is the ethical dimension of artificial intelligence. Algorithmic bias, data privacy, and the opacity of machine learning systems highlight enormous dangers in entrusting critical decision-making to automated processes.[13] This can translate into inequitable learning

[8]Trist, Eric. "The Evolution of Socio-Technical Systems: A Conceptual Framework and an Action Research Program." Occasional Paper No. 2. Toronto: Ontario Quality of Working Life Centre, 1981. 13
[9]Becker, Gary S. *Human Capital: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis, with Special Reference to Education.* 3rd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1993: 21.
[10] Frey, Carl Benedikt, and Michael A. Osborne. "The Future of Employment: How Susceptible Are Jobs to Computerisation?" *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 114 (2017): 254–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.08.019
[11] Selwyn, Neil. *Should Robots Replace Teachers? AI and the Future of Education*. 64
[12]UNESCO. *AI and Education: Guidance for Policy-Makers.* Paris: UNESCO, 2021. 8.
[13]O'Neil, Cathy. *Weapons of Math Destruction: How Big Data Increases Inequality and Threatens Democracy*. New York: Crown, 2016. 29.

recommendations in education; discriminatory hiring practices in industry; and systemic exclusion of vulnerable groups in broader labour markets. That is why robust theoretical and ethical frameworks are strongly advocated before any attempts at integration. The salient research questions include: How is AI changing educational practices, and what implications does this have for issues of access and equity? In what ways is AI changing industrial productivity and labour markets? How can skills development strategies respond to the demands of AI-driven economies while also promoting inclusivity and sustainability? The general objective is to critically explore the intersections among AI, education, industry, and skills development. Informed by socio-technical systems theory and human capital theory. The article is structured into an introduction, which provides insight into the first section, which examines the relevant literature on artificial intelligence in education, industry, and skills development. The following section presents the discourse on the qualitative methodology adopted, which combines a critical review of the extant literature on the subject matter. Furthermore, the theoretical frameworks that undergird the study are discussed. The next section of the article presents case studies demonstrating the applications of AI in education and industrial settings. This is where it draws lessons for policy and practice before concluding with recommendations for educators and policy formulators on the sustainable and inclusive integration of AI.

Literature Review

The literature of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has grown voluminous and eclectic across computer science, education, economics, sociology, and policy studies, as AI extends its domain of influence to ever-widening peripheries. Successive waves of inquiry have enabled scholars to consider not only the technical capabilities of AI but also its more extended social, cultural, and economic implications. This study presents a critical review of contemporary discourse in education and industry, focusing on skills development from ethical and policy perspectives, and, finally, on the global North–South dynamic. These are the several strands through which some appreciation can begin to mount for how AI will change knowledge systems, labour markets, and forms of human capital.

A. AI in Education

The educational literature on AI has significantly increased over the last decade. Early work focused on the development of intelligent tutoring systems (ITS), which are capable of piecemeal replication of aspects of human guidance and instruction. As argued by Luckin et al, it is through the use of ITS that one can simulate the personalisation aspects of one-to-one tutoring by adjusting the pace and content to meet the specific needs of learners.[14] Evidence indicates that related systems motivate students and improve their outcomes by providing personalised support in subjects such as mathematics and science. Beyond tutoring, AI is increasingly being implemented as a learning analytics tool. Williamson explains how platforms such as predictive dashboards use student data to predict whether a student will drop out or disengage from the course material. Such systems may be very effective at retention, but they

[14] Luckin, Rosemary. *Machine Learning and Human Intelligence: The Future of Education for the 21st Century*. London: UCL IOE Press, 2018

© humanusdiscourse@gmail.com , http://humanusdiscourse.website2.me

actually intensify surveillance and the commodification of learner data.[15] As Knox and others commented, this shifts risk, placing the learner within a series of metrics that mask the more humanistic aspects of teaching and learning.[16]

Pedagogy is another key theme. As Selwyn notes, A.I. shifts the role of humans from content delivery to facilitation, i.e., human educators are increasingly expected to work alongside intelligent systems.[17] This raises profound questions about professional identity. Some scholars argue that teacher training must include not only an emphasis on technical competencies but also one on ethical decision-making so that new tools are used responsibly.[18] Access and equity are key. As noted by UNESCO, AI is predominantly seen as a resource for broadening educational offerings through natural language processing tools that translate content and present it for the disabled population.[19] However, an enormous digital divide hinders such optimistic narratives from coming to fruition. OECD statistics in sub-Saharan Africa have also affirmed this, a region with low broadband penetration, where teacher training is inadequate to fulfil the promises AI might bring to literacy.[20] These are structural barriers through which futurologists predict that, rather than mitigating inequalities, AI will multiply them.

Ethical debates are also prominent. O'Neil documents how algorithms used for grading and admissions replicate preexisting biases within the historical data on which they draw.[21] Williamson and Piattoeva propose a transparent design of algorithms and participatory governance as a means of accountability in AI-enhanced education.[22] Such discussions sit within wider discomfort about the opacity of machine learning systems and their potential influence on life chances. From the foregoing, it can be deduced that education in AI is both emancipatory and constraining, capable of personalising learning at scale, but also entangled in dynamics of surveillance, inequality, and professional change.

B. AI in Industry

Industrial uses of AI are the most apparent in economic discourse. Brynjolfsson and McAfee argue that AI is the force behind a "second machine age," marked by automation, data-driven decision-making, and unprecedented productivity

[15] Williamson, Ben. *Datafication of Education: Big Data, Learning Analytics and the Governance of Schooling*. Abingdon: Routledge, 2020. 51
[16] Knox, Jeremy, Ben Williamson, and Sian Bayne. *The Manifesto for Teaching Online.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2020.
[17] Selwyn, Neil. *Should Robots Replace Teachers? AI and the Future of Education*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 2019. 42,
[18] Holmes, Wayne, Maya Bialik, and Charles Fadel. *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications*. Abingdon: Routledge, 2021. 26.
[19]UNESCO. AI and Education: Guidance for Policy-Makers. Paris: UNESCO, 2021. 5.
[20] Ogunyemi, Babatunde. "Fintech Innovation and Financial Inclusion in Nigeria." *African Journal of Economic Policy* 28, no. 1 (2021): 10–18.
[21]O'Neil, Cathy. *Weapons of Math Destruction: How Big Data Increases Inequality and Threatens Democracy*. New York: Crown, 2016. 29.
[22] Williamson, Ben. *Datafication of Education: Big Data, Learning Analytics and the Governance of Schooling*. Abingdon: Routledge, 2020

© humanusdiscourse@gmail.com , http://humanusdiscourse.website2.me

gains: the automation and employment.[23] Frey and Osborn are perhaps best known for the statistic that 47% of jobs in advanced economies are vulnerable to automation.[24] It is this figure, while heavily debated, that galvanised a global discussion about the future of work, specifically the extent to which job displacement would result from advancing technology, particularly AI, in the workforce.

Susskind and Susskind describe how AI alters professional labour by automating homogeneous clerical tasks within the legal, accounting, and medical professions.[25] Instead of total displacement, task restructuring occurs in which humans undertake the nuanced social or creative aspects, while mundane elements are assumed by machinery.[26]

Industrial innovation is another area of emphasis. As observed by Agrawal and others, the primary initial application of AI in industry is in logistics, quality control, and supply chain management, as the predictive component becomes cheaper with its use.[27] Firms applying AI can tap into predictive maintenance in manufacturing and customer analytics in retail, among other areas.[28] These authors reiterate that newer innovations add more dimensions to competition, making firms and economies have to keep pace or risk being sidelined.

Ethics and regulation also come in. Noble illustrates how the algorithms behind recruitment tools perpetuate racial and gender bias against minority applicants, thereby highlighting the significant risks posed by opaque systems' decisions on sensitive matters.[29] Rodrik extends this by reminding us that developing economies face a problem he calls “premature deindustrialisation” due to computer-enabled, capital-intensive models bypassing labour-intensive industrialisation, which creates jobs.[30]

---

[23] Brynjolfsson, Erik, and Andrew McAfee. *Machine, Platform, Crowd: Harnessing Our Digital Future*. New York: W. W. Norton, 2017. 14

[24] Frey, Carl Benedikt, and Michael A. Osborne. “The Future of Employment: How Susceptible Are Jobs to Computerisation?” *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 114 (2017): 254–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.08.019

[25] Susskind, Richard, and Daniel Susskind. *The Future of the Professions: How Technology Will Transform the Work of Human Experts*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2015. 112.

[26] Arntz, Melanie, Terry Gregory, and Ulrich Zierahn. “The Risk of Automation for Jobs in OECD Countries: A Comparative Analysis.” *OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers No. 189*. Paris: OECD Publishing, 2016. 19.

[27] Agrawal, Ajay, Joshua S. Gans, and Avi Goldfarb. “Prediction, Judgment, and Complexity: A Theory of Decision-Making and Artificial Intelligence.” NBER Working Paper No. 24243. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research, 2018. 54.

[28] Bughin, Jacques, Jeongmin Seong, James Manyika, Michael Chui, and Raoul Joshi. *Notes from the AI Frontier: Insights from Hundreds of Use Cases*. McKinsey Global Institute, 2018. 22

[29]Noble, Safiya Umoja. *Algorithms of Oppression: How Search Engines Reinforce Racism*. New York: NYU Press, 2018. 73.

[30]Rodrik, Dani. “Premature Deindustrialisation.” *Journal of Economic Growth* 21, no. 1 (2016). 15.

© humanusdiscourse@gmail.com , http://humanusdiscourse.website2.me

C. AI in Self-Development.

The skills development literature situates artificial intelligence within broader debates about human capital and transformations like work. Becker argued that long-run productivity can be achieved through education and training.[31] However, neo-applications of AI redefine the specific skills ancillary to the investment. New skills needs are constantly recognised. The OECD says that digital literacy, computational thinking, and problem-solving are key for employment in economies driven by artificial intelligence.[32] As more routine work becomes automated, there will be a greater need for creativity, as well as social and technical skills.[33] This perspective is further reinforced by Brynjolfsson and McAfee, who state that AI builds on creativity, empathy, and complex judgment found in humans.[34]

Educational institutions are challenged to respond. In the words of Luckinand others, this implies the development of new curricula in higher education that foster interdisciplinary competence at the intersection of computing, ethics, and social sciences.[35] What has therefore been increasingly appreciated is VET's role in enabling workers to acquire practical, work-ready skills in fast-evolving industries.

Lifelong learning is a recurring theme. Susskind and Susskind note that workers must "continuously reinvent themselves" to stay relevant in changing labour markets.[36] The World Economic Forum also highlights the role of reskilling and upskilling, saying that by 2025, more than 50 per cent of workers will need significant retraining. Equity concerns are also important. As UNESCO notes, access to training is grossly inadequate, especially in developing countries, due to both infrastructure and funding constraints.[37] This inevitably continues to widen the skills development gap between the Global North and the Global South, reinforcing age-old economic gaps, and may contribute to poverty within the Global South. Without deliberate policy interventions, the so-called "skills gap" is likely to perpetuate and deepen both exclusion and inequality.

Ethical and Policy Perspectives

A growing body of literature exists on the ethics of AI. "O'Neil popularised weapons of math destruction", algorithms perpetuating bias without

---

[31] Becker, Gary S. *HumanCapital*: *A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis, with Special Reference to Education*. 3rd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1993.
[32]OECD. "State of Implementation of the OECD AI Principles: Insights from National AI Policies." *OECD Digital Economy Papers No. 311*. Paris: OECD Publishing, 2021: 17. https://doi.org/10.1787/1cd40a9e-en.
[3333]Bakhshi, Hasan, Jonathan M. Downing, Michael A. Osborne, and Philippe Schneider. *The Future of Skills: Employment in 2030.* London: Pearson and Nesta, 2017: 9
[34] Brynjolfsson, Erik, and Andrew McAfee. *Machine*, *Platform, Crowd: Harnessing Our Digital Future.* New York: W. W. Norton, 2017: 96.
[35] Luckin, Rosemary. *Machine Learning and Human Intelligence: The Future of Education for the 21st Century*. London: UCL IOE Press, 2018: 113.
[36] Susskind, Richard, and Daniel Susskind. "The Future of the Professions: How Technology Will Transform the Work of Human Experts". 156.
[37] UNESCO. AI and Education: Guidance for Policy-Makers. Paris: UNESCO, 2021. 8.

accountability.[38] Within both education and industry, algorithmic opacity undermines transparency and due process. As argued by Noble, similarly, search engines and AI systems reproduce racialised and gendered stereotypes—policy responses.[39] In the words of the European Commission, ethical guidelines recommend transparency, accountability, and human control.[40] As UNESCO notes, "human-centred" governance of AI should be both inclusive and sustainable. In this way, innovation must be paired with stringent precautionary measures to prevent exploitation and discrimination.[41]However, scholars caution against superficial solutions**.** Eubanks demonstrates that, in the United States, welfare algorithms disproportionately harm vulnerable populations even when formal compliance with specific fairness standards has been achieved.[42] Williamson and Piattoeva state that participatory design and democratic oversight are effective in ensuring that AI systems reflect societal values rather than the narrow interests of corporate entities.[43]In light of the above, a growing consensus on ethical literacy is emerging among developers, educators, policymakers, and industry leaders.

D. Global North–South Dynamics

A relatively underexplored but growing body of work examines geopolitical inequalities in AI adoption. Many studies highlight the risk that AI will exacerbate global asymmetries, with high-income countries capturing disproportionate benefits while low- and middle-income contexts struggle to integrate AI into their economies. Rodrik notes that the developing world faces the threat of "premature automation", meaning that capital-intensive AI systems displace labour-intensive industrialisation.[44]As Ogunyemi notes, the weak digital infrastructure in African contexts, which hinders the effective deployment of AI in education, will widen the global skills gap.[45]

Another fear is about data colonialism. As Couldry and Mejias argue, this happens when corporate entities from the Global North extract and exploit data from users in the Global South, continuously reproducing the colonial logics of resource extraction.[46] It fosters dependency rather than breaking it to create any local innovation.

---

[38]*O'Neil*, Cathy. Weapons of Math Destruction: How Big Data Increases Inequality and Threatens Democracy. 29.
[39]Noble, SafiyaUmoja. *Algorithms of Oppression: How Search Engines Reinforce Racism.* NewYork*: NYU Press, 2018. 73*
[40] European Commission. "White Paper on Artificial Intelligence: A European Approach to Excellence and Trust:. COM(2020) 65 final. Brussels: European Commission, 2020. 12
[41] UNESCO. AI and Education: Guidance for Policy-Makers. 6.
[42]Eubanks, Virginia. *Automating Inequality: How High-Tech Tools Profile, Police, and Punish the Poor. New York: St. Martin's Press, 2018. 41.*
[43] Williamson, Ben, and Nelli Piattoeva. *EducationGovernance* and *Datafication*: *AI*, *Accountability*, *andEthicalChallenges*. New York: Routledge, 2022. 74
[44] Rodrik, Dani. "Premature Deindustrialisation." *Journal of Economic Growth* 21, no. 1 (2016). 15
[45] Ogunyemi, Babatunde. "Digital Divides in Nigerian Faith-Based Education." *African Journal of Education and Technology* 12, no. 1 (2022): 44.
[46] Couldry, Nick, and Ulises A. Mejias. *The Costs of Connection: How Data Is Colonising Human Life and Appropriating It for Capitalism*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 2019: 118.

© humanusdiscourse@gmail.com , http://humanusdiscourse.website2.me

International organisations urge global AI cooperation. According to UNESCO, there should be joint international efforts to ensure equal access to Artificial Intelligence technologies.[47] In a more specific tone, the OECD presents its priority for investments in digital infrastructure within developing countries.[48] However, scholars assert that meaningful action beyond mere rhetoric is required to address imbalances in technological capacity and capital flows. This literature highlights the geopolitical implications of AI, underscoring that its benefits and risks are unevenly distributed across global contexts.

The various perspectives expressed by multiple scholars highlight the recurring themes within this discourse, which include: First, AI is depicted as a potent and high-impact dual tool, one that can positively transform education, industry, and skills development, but at the same time harbours very high risks of vulnerability to inequality, exclusion, and ethical lapses. Second, the pivot on which adaptation rests is also learning new skills; interdisciplinary yet inclusive training that spans the entire lifespan is necessary for managing transformations driven by AI. Third, while the ethical dimension of AI has been recognised in terms of transparency and accountability, as well as human oversight for harm mitigation. Fourth, what comes out of most literature is global unevenness in adopting AI, such that infrastructural cum economic constraints in developing contexts result in an inability to participate. Despite these insights, gaps remain. Empirical research disproportionately focuses on the Global North, leaving unexplored how AI operates in diverse cultural and infrastructural settings. Ethical debates often remain abstract, lacking detailed accounts of implementation challenges in real-world contexts. Moreover, while skills development is recognised as crucial, the specific pathways through which education systems can adapt remain under-theorised. This study aims to fill these gaps by drawing on socio-technical systems theory and human capital theory. In discussing AI as part of the larger systems of technology, institutions, and human agency, it seeks to transcend the technological determinism that has been discussed in relation to AI's impacts. The following section highlights the theoretical framework utilised in this study.

3. Theoretical Framework

Socio-technical systems theory, originated by Eric Trist and his colleagues at the Tavistock Institute in the 1950s, highlighted the interdependencies between technological innovations and the social arrangements within organisations.[49] The fundamental postulate of their argument was that effective systems require joint optimisation of technical and social sub-systems. Machinery, process, or tool changes can reshape human roles, relationships, and norms. Therefore, intelligent technology never operates neutrally; its effects depend on institutional settings, the cultural expectations set for it, and governance structures. With artificial intelligence learning applications, results may change

[47] UNESCO. *AI and Education: Guidance for Policy-Makers.* Paris: UNESCO, 2021: 7.
[48] OECD. "State of Implementation of the OECD AI Principles: Insights from National AI Policies." *OECD Digital Economy Papers No. 311.* Paris: OECD Publishing, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1787/1cd40a9e-en: 19.
[49] Trist, Eric. "The Evolution of Socio-Technical Systems: A Conceptual Framework and an Action Research Program." 13.

© humanusdiscourse@gmail.com , http://humanusdiscourse.website2.me

pedagogy and teacher-student relations, or business automation changes decision-making and labour hierarchies.[5051] However valid this theory is, it is criticised for underplaying issues regarding power dynamics plus possibilities of conflict since it assumes harmonisation between technical efficiency and social needs -" (Mumford 2006319). Still, this study examines it because it provides a valuable framework for understanding how AI use is shaped by contextual factors and organisational approaches, rather than solely by technological determinism.

Human capital theory, as most explicitly laid out by Gary Becker, views education and skills as investments that increase productivity and the economic value of individuals and societies.[52] The basic formulation argues that knowledge, competencies, and training are forms of capital that yield returns through higher earnings, better employment prospects, and economic growth. In the context of AI, this theory is relevant because technological change is transforming the types of skills labour markets require, thus placing a premium on digital literacy, problem-solving abilities, and access to lifelong learning.[53] The theory has been challenged because it reduces education to its utilitarian economic function, thereby masking more significant civic and cultural objectives, as well as the structural inequalities between schooling and income (Marginson, 2019, 47; Bowles and Gintis,1975, 137). The framework has been much criticised, but this paper uses it because it effectively highlights the centrality of skills and learning in adjusting to AI-driven transformations. When applied in tandem with socio-technical systems theory, it offers an appropriately balanced perspective for understanding both the organisational conditions for AI adoption and the human capabilities required to navigate technological change.

4. Research Methods

This study uses a qualitative exploratory approach to initiate inquiry into the impacts that Artificial Intelligence can have on education, industry, and skills development. The reason for choosing the qualitative approach is that AI is not only a technical innovation but also a socio-technical phenomenon whose effects are mediated by institutional, cultural, and policy contexts (Creswell 2014, 32). The current study does not attempt to validate any hypotheses but instead seeks to provide an interpretive understanding and some critical lessons regarding newly emerging patterns of adoption and practice.

Three principal sources of secondary data inform this study. The first source is a review of the academic literature published between 2010 and 2025, comprising 20 Journal articles thematically mapped and analysed, and 7 monographs related to the discourse. This period has been selected to reflect

[50] Williamson, Ben. *Datafication of Education: Big Data, Learning Analytics and the Governance of Schooling*. Abingdon: Routledge, 2020. 87
[51] Agrawal, Ajay, Joshua S. Gans, and Avi Goldfarb. "Prediction, Judgment, and Complexity: A Theory of Decision-Making and Artificial Intelligence."54.
[52] Becker, Gary S. Human Capital: *A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis, with Special Reference to Education. 3rd ed. Chicago:* University of Chicago Press, 1993: 21.
[53] OECD. "State of Implementation of the OECD AI Principles: Insights from National AI Policies."17.

the recent tremendous acceleration in AI research and application.[54] The second source comprises seven policy documents and reports, including those from UNESCO, the OECD, and national governments, that demonstrate both global and specific local impacts of AI integration.[55][56]Thirdly, the analysis includes indicative case studies on AI adoption in schools and universities, as well as across industries, providing practical examples of technology deployment and adaptation.

Analysis of recurring themes was conducted as part of the thematic analysis described by Braun and Clarke.[57] Two theories provide a baseline for coding: socio-technical systems theory,[58] which will guide understanding of the interaction of AI with organisational structures and social aspects, as well as with human capital theory.[59] which reshapes the inquiry into skill formation, reskilling, and lifelong learning, as AI reformulates it. Rather than imposing them as fixed schemata, their application here will be seen as another pair of complementary lenses that add explanatory value by connecting technological change at the systemic and individual levels.

Although based on secondary data, the study has carefully adhered to ethical standards. All sources used have been carefully referenced to respect intellectual property rights. Additionally, awareness was maintained about the political context of policy reports, which typically promote specific economic agendas.[60] The ethical issues related to the AI itself, including bias, surveillance, and unequal access, have been integrated into the analysis as an advance in bringing normative dimensions to changes in technology.[61]

The study has a few limitations. Firstly, because it relies on secondary sources, it does not allow for primary empirical validation. The fast-paced development of AI also means that results obtained at one point may not hold for very long afterwards. Additionally, since qualitative thematic analysis is an interpretive approach, it inherently involves researcher bias. However, this was minimised by adopting a systematic search process, triangulating across sources, and being transparent in coding and interpretation. This methodology enables us to take a wide yet sharp look at the social, tech, and human side effects of AI, generating ideas that sit firmly in theory yet matter greatly in practice for those creating rules, teaching, or running businesses.

---

[54] Luckin, Rosemary. *Machine Learning and Human Intelligence: The Future of Education for the 21st Century.* London: UCL IOE Press, 2018: 3.
[55] OECD. "State of Implementation of the OECD AI Principles: Insights from National AI Policies."
[56] UNESCO. AI and Education: Guidance for Policy-Makers.
[57]Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2006) "Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology". *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3, 79. http://dx.doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
[58] Trist, Eric. "The Evolution of Socio-Technical Systems: A Conceptual Framework and an Action Research Program."
[59] Becker, Gary S. *Human Capital: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis, with Special Reference to Education*. 3rd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1993.
[60] Ball, Stephen J. *Global Education Inc.: New Policy Networks and the Neo-liberal Imaginary*. Abingdon: Routledge, 2012: 35
[61] Selwyn, Neil. *Should Robots Replace Teachers? AI and the Future of Education. Cambridge*: Polity Press, 2019: 64.

5. Case Studies and Empirical Evidence

A. AI in Education

The education sector is among the earliest 'laboratories' for testing Artificial Intelligence, with its potential to personalise learning and retention across a broad diversity of socio-economic spectrums. Evidence from across the globe demonstrates not only the transformative promise of AI for pedagogy but also the profound risks it entails, including ethical, surveillance, and inequality-related concerns.

Intelligent Tutoring Systems

The United States has been a leader in developing intelligent tutoring systems using machine learning algorithms to adapt teaching materials to learners' needs. One such example is Cognitive Tutor by Carnegie Learning, which has been common in secondary schools since the early 2000s. The learners who used the system for mathematics attained statistically significant gains compared to those getting lessons through 'business as usual'.[62] The system works by modelling problem difficulty based on learners' cognition and dynamically adjusting in real time. While evidence like this confirms the potential of raising achievement through ITS, it reduces education to narrow, quantifiable learning metrics.[63] This perspective broadly critiques data-driven pedagogy, which argues that it undermines creativity and critical thinking.[64]

In the UK, My Maths and Century Tech deliver adaptive learning within the national curriculum framework. There has been greater engagement from students who are low performers in math and science.[65] As in the U.S. scenario, the issues here relate to the delegation of pedagogical authority to proprietary algorithms. These raise concerns about accountability and transparency in education decision-making.[66]

Learning Analytics in Higher Education

AI-driven learning analytics is increasingly shaping higher education, particularly in distance and online universities. For instance, the Open University in the UK uses predictive analytics models based on logins, participation, and assignment submissions to accurately identify students at risk of attrition.[67] This then triggers interventions that precipitate measurable

---

[62] Pane, John F., Elizabeth D. Steiner, Matthew D. Baird, and Laura S. Hamilton. *Informing Progress: Insights on Personalised Learning Implementation and Effects*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation, 2017: 13

[63] Selwyn, Neil. *Should Robots Replace Teachers? AI and the Future of Education*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 2019: 88.

[64] Williamson, Ben. *Datafication of Education: Big Data, Learning Analytics and the Governance of Schooling.* Abingdon: Routledge, 2020: 101.

[65]Luckin, Rosemary. *Machine Learning and Human Intelligence: The Future of Education for the 21st Century.* London: UCL IOE Press, 2018: 19.

[66] Holmes, Wayne, Maya Bialik, and Charles Fadel. *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications.* Abingdon: Routledge, 2021: 47.

[67] Rienties, Bart, Lisette Toetenel, and Annie Bryan. "Learning Analytics at Scale: The Experience of the Open University." *Journal of Learning Analytics* 5, no. 1 (2018): 114.

© humanusdiscourse@gmail.com , http://humanusdiscourse.website2.me

improvements in retention rates across all their campuses where it has been initiated. However valid this might be as a retort to the datafication critique that expresses concern about reducing students to mere statistical bodies by interpreting their patterns of engagement through machinic algorithms.

In the Netherlands, Eindhoven University of Technology used such systems, allowing AI to watch for signs of both presence and group work in engineering classes. While leaders said it made spotting at-risk students easier, teachers shared fears about the mood such watching could set. Some students also felt uneasy about how deeply the system was looking into their study habits.[68] This shows a broader clash between the often-touted benefits of learning checks and the deeper issues of freedom, secrecy, and the student role.

AI and Access in Resource-Constrained Contexts

In the lower- and middle-income countries, AI in education is often perceived as a tool for filling access gaps. In Nigeria, the uLesson mobile app delivers video lessons and assessments that are powered by adaptive learning algorithms. The platform has already reached hundreds of thousands of secondary school students.[69] It can run offline to bypass internet connectivity issues; however, data and device affordability remain barriers.

At BYJU's, among the world's largest EdTech firms, AI-based personalisation is a feature of its mobile learning application in India. It became popular during the COVID-19 pandemic, as millions of students adopted online learning.[70] Nevertheless, this platform has been praised for opening up access; it has also been described as an amplifier of educational inequities, as wealthier households take more subscriptions and possess the necessary devices.[71]

Critical Reflection

The educational case studies reveal AI's paradoxical role. On one hand, ITS and learning analytics enhance efficiency, retention, and engagement. On the other hand, they risk narrowing pedagogical aims, embedding surveillance, and exacerbating inequality. The Chinese example highlights how political context shapes AI's use in classrooms, while Nigerian and Indian cases demonstrate how infrastructural constraints and affordability issues mediate AI's promise of expanded access. The evidence strongly supports using socio-technical systems theory as a lens, as it underscores that AI's impacts in education cannot be understood apart from the institutional and cultural contexts in which it is

[68] Prinsloo, Paul, and Sharon Slade. "An Elephant in the Learning Analytics Room: The Obligation to Act." *BritishJournal of Educational Technology* 48, no. 1 (2017): 39. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12344.
[69] Adepoju, Paul. "How a Nigerian Start-up Is Using Technology to Improve Learning." *Nature Africa* 2, no. 3 (2021): 4.
[70] Mishra, Lakshmi, Tripti Gupta, and Abhishek Shree. "Online Teaching-Learning in Higher Education during the Lockdown Period of the COVID-19 Pandemic." *International Journal of Educational Research Open* 1 (2020): 783. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2020.100012.
[71] Soni, Vandana. "BYJU's and the Politics of EdTech in India." *Contemporary Education Dialogue* 18, no. 1 (2021): 12. https://doi.org/10.1177/0973184920973330.

deployed. Similarly, human capital theory remains relevant, as much of the justification for AI in education rests on claims that it enhances learners' skills for labour-market competitiveness. However, the criticisms also highlight the dangers of uncritically accepting AI's role in shaping pedagogy and the human capacities deemed valuable for economic growth.

B. AI in Industry

AI is widely perceived as the primary factor of transformation across industries, resulting in higher productivity, efficiency, and innovation. The primary illustration of AI use that demonstrates both its potential and the disruption it creates on labour, organisational structures, and ethical governance comes from manufacturing, finance, retail, and healthcare.

Manufacturing and Predictive Machinery

Manufacturing is one of the earliest domains where AI has delivered measurable efficiency gains. General Electric (GE) pioneered predictive maintenance by embedding AI-enabled sensors into industrial equipment to monitor performance and forecast failures.[72] By analysing massive streams of sensor data, GE reduced downtime and saved costs on unplanned repairs, demonstrating the ability of AI to transform industrial operations. Siemens has adopted similar systems in Germany, using digital twins to simulate factory processes and predict malfunctions before they occur.[73] These cases show how AI reshapes the socio-technical configuration of industry: human workers are no longer central to diagnosis but become monitors of automated systems. While this improves efficiency, it also raises questions about deskilling and the displacement of maintenance roles.[74] The shift highlights socio-technical systems theory's emphasis on balancing human and technical subsystems, as well as the need for retraining workers to avoid technological redundancy.

Finance and Risk Management

The finance industry was among the first to adopt AI for massive transactions and anomaly detection. At HSBC, machine learning flags potential fraud across millions of transactions each day.[75] This helps identify losses and builds confidence in financial institutions. Equally, these are AI-based credit scoring

[72] Bughin, Jacques, Jeongmin Seong, James Manyika, Michael Chui, and Raoul Joshi. *Notes from the AI Frontier: Insights from Hundreds of Use Cases.* McKinsey Global Institute, 2018: 21.
[73] Siemens. Digital Industries: Training for Industry 4.0. Munich: Siemens AG, 2020:6.
[74] Frey, Carl Benedikt, and Michael A. Osborne. "The Future of Employment: How Susceptible Are Jobs to Computerisation?" *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 114 (2017): 262. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.08.019.
[75] Accenture. *Artificial Intelligence in Financial Services: How AI Is Reshaping the Industry*. London: Accenture, 2018: 7.

models, widely deployed in the United States and China, that score more accurately than traditional risk-assessment systems.[76]

In Nigeria, *Paystack and Flutterwave* are fintech startups using AI tools to process payments, detect fraud, and expand financial inclusion.[77] However, academics also warn that such systems might reproduce financial exclusion if algorithms carry biases against those without established digital or financial footprints.[78] This aligns well with broader discussions of algorithmic fairness and accountability in financial systems.

Retail and Consumer Behaviour

Retail is one of the most visible and polemical uses of AI. At Amazon, machine learning in practice involves almost every aspect of its operations, from recommendation systems to warehouse robotics. Cashiers do not staff Amazon Go stores; they utilise computer vision and artificial intelligence, enabling customers to shop without waiting in line.[79] This novelty brings out how, by synthesising consumer convenience with cost reduction for the provider itself, AI can be. However, it displaces retail labourers while increasing dependence on a single corporate platform, raising concerns about monopoly practices.[80] In China, Alibaba's City Brain extends across the entire urban infrastructure, enabling zip codes to receive retail deliveries by optimising traffic flows and supporting logistics. That increases efficiency and consumer satisfaction; it also raises deep ethical issues, as it exemplifies the merging of commercial and state surveillance systems.

Healthcare and Medical Diagnostics

The healthcare industry opened its doors wide to AI, particularly in medical diagnostics. In the United States, IBM's Watson for Oncology was utilised to support doctors in developing treatment plans based on extensive medical databases. Although the initial results were impressive, subsequent findings revealed that the system was inaccurate and heavily reliant on incomplete data sets. This later discovery brought back doubts about its reliability.In India, the Aravind Eye Hospital partnered with Google to develop AI algorithms for affordable diagnostics of diabetic retinopathy, a condition that most commonly leads to blindness.[81] The system demonstrated accuracy comparable to that of

[76] Bruckner, Markus. "AI and Credit Scoring: Opportunities and Risks." *Financial Innovation Review* 14, no. 2 (2018): 3.
[77] Ogunyemi, Babatunde. "Fintech Innovation and Financial Inclusion in Nigeria." *African Journal of Economic Policy* 28, no. 1 (2021): 14.
[78] Aitken, Rob. "All Data Is Credit Data: Constituting the Unbanked." *Competition & Change* 21, no. 4 (2017): 50.
[79] Dastin, Jeffrey. "Amazon Scraps Secret AI Recruiting Tool That Showed Bias against Women." Reuters, October 10, 2018: 2. https://www.reuters.com/article/amazon-ai-hiring-idUSKCN1MK08G.
[80] Zuboff, Shoshana. *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism*. London: Profile Books, 2019: 96.
[81] Rajalakshmi, Ramachandran, R. Subashini, R. M. Anjana, V. Mohan, and M. Deepa. "Validation of Artificial Intelligence–Based Retinal Image Analysis for Diabetic

ophthalmologists and could thus be considered a feasible option for addressing specialist shortages, particularly in low-resource settings. In the African region, AI has primarily been applied in the public health sector for surveillance and early disease detection. In Uganda, for example, AI models have been used to predict malaria incidence based on climate and population data, enabling better resource allocation.[82] Such projects clearly illustrate how AI can improve healthcare delivery when infrastructure and human expertise are inadequate.

Critical Reflection

Case studies illustrate AI's dual nature as both an enabler and a disruptor. In the manufacturing and finance sectors, AI increases efficiency while also facilitating worker displacement and introducing opaque decision-making. It increases convenience in retail but accelerates corporate monopolisation and labour displacement. It enhances diagnostic capacity in healthcare systems in the Global South but simultaneously exposes vulnerabilities in data quality, reliability, and privacy. This resonates with the socio-technical systems theory, which posits that there is always an interplay or interdependence between technological artefacts and human actors. That keeps the human capital theory alive because organisations are increasingly demanding technologically literate workers who can provide context and a wide range of hybrid skills. The other critique of the human capital approach, which frames AI adoption in terms of upskilling, however, argued that this approach does not structurally place and legitimate the condition of precarity on the worker, but rather on labour market institutions, and thus misplaces responsibility for insufficient labour market reforms.

C. AI in Skills Development.

The nexus between education and industry is evident in skills development. Here, AI both drives the need for new skills and offers means for teaching and learning new abilities. Stories from hiring, job training, and ongoing education demonstrate how AI changes the way workers prepare for ever-changing job markets.

AI Recruitment and Management.

AI-based recruitment applications are already a reality. For instance, the HireVue application, used by global giants like Unilever, utilises natural language processing and facial recognition technologies to evaluate video interviews based on speech, tone, and even micro-expressions.[83] Proponents argue that such systems make the process faster and less subjective by standardising evaluation criteria. However, empirical evidence supports

Retinopathy." *JAMA Ophthalmology* 138, no. 4 (2020): 412. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2020.0102.

[82]Nuwagira, Edwin, and Harriet Kiwanuka. "AI for Malaria Prediction in Uganda: Applications and Limitations." *African Journal of Health Sciences* 34, no. 1 (n.d.): 9.

[83] Bogen, Miranda, and Aaron Rieke. *Help Wanted: An Examination of Hiring Algorithms, Equity, and Bias.* Washington, DC: Upturn, 2018: 12.

© humanusdiscourse@gmail.com , http://humanusdiscourse.website2.me

Ajunwa's proposition that algorithmic hiring will not only perpetuate but also intensify existing biases if the training data reflects historical disparities.[84] Amazon has discontinued an experimental internal AI recruitment system that was found to disadvantage female applicants due to biased training data.[85]

Systems, Applications, and Products in Data Processing (SAP) and Vodafone are utilising AI Human Resources tools to match their workers' profiles with new job offers within the company. SAP blends Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning into its ERP (Enterprise Resource Planning) suites, extending its offerings to include advanced predictive analytics, process automation, natural language processing, and intelligent data management. Examples of these products are SAP Leonardo, SAP S/4HANA, and SAP AI Core, which deliver embedded AI to optimise supply chains, HR, finance, and customer experience.This practice clearly demonstrates how AI is being increasingly utilised not only in hiring but also in other stages of job promotion within corporations.[86] However, such systems have come under criticism for issues of transparency and fairness, particularly when one's future does not lie in the hands of a person but an algorithm that decides about promotions.[87]

Vocational Education and Training

Vocational training is considered crucial for competency development, particularly in economies adopting automation. In Germany, the Siemens study notes that trainees at its Technical Academy are allowed to practice first on digital twins of industrial equipment before moving to physical machinery, supported by artificial intelligence simulations.[88] This will pose no risks, accelerate learning, and help apprentices become familiar with the tools of Industry 4.0. However, overreliance on simulation may limit competence development, as real, hands-on expertise is not developed. Technical schools in China also welcomed the AIs for VET. At Shanghai institutes, platforms for training on AI-powered robotics were initiated to prepare students for jobs available in automated manufacturing (Li and Du, 2021: 92). This essentially responds to government efforts toward aligning education with industrial policies by updating resources that rural colleges cannot equally access due to a lack of information about such high-tech resources.

[84] Ajunwa, Ifeoma. "The Paradox of Automation as Anti-Bias Intervention." *Cardozo Law Review* 41, no. 1 (2019): 47.
[85] Dastin, Jeffrey. "Amazon Scraps Secret AI Recruiting Tool That Showed Bias against Women." 3.
[86]Bersin, Josh. "AI in HR: A Game Changer for Talent Management." *Journal of Organisational Learning* 25, no. 2 (2019): 18.
[87] Meijerink, Jeroen, Tanya Bondarouk, and David Lepak. "New Frontiers in HRM: Algorithmic Decision-Making and Employee Perceptions." *Human Resource Management Review* 30, no. 2 (June 2020): 56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2019.100692.
[88] Siemens. Digital Industries: Training for Industry 4.0. Munich: Siemens AG, 2020: 6.

Lifelong Learning and Reskilling Platforms

AI also supports worldwide lifelong learning systems. At Coursera and Udacity, machine learning is used to personalise course suggestions and adapt assessments based on user progress.[89] Such systems become particularly important in cases of job displacement due to automation, as they provide pathways to acquire the digital and technical skills required by new sectors. Participation shows an even greater skew, since most participants have prior educational advantages and good internet connections; hence, both global and domestic inequalities are reinforced.[90]

In Singapore, the *government's Skills Future initiative integrates AI platforms to guide* citizens through customised training pathways. Connecting individual learning records to national labour market information provides detailed, specific suggestions for reskilling.[91] This is an explicit illustration of how AI can be harnessed to tune individual learning to broader economic strategies, notwithstanding issues of privacy and the role of state intervention in matters as personal as career choice.

In Africa, similar initiatives are emerging, but they face infrastructure constraints. For instance, in Nigeria, the National Artificial Intelligence Strategy emphasises digital literacy and AI-related training for young people entering the labour market.[92] The implementation process has been uneven due to inadequate funding, a shortage of trainers, and inconsistent electricity supply nationwide. However, this program introduces the infusion of future skills in artificial intelligence into human capital development policies and aims to integrate them into national policy frameworks.

Critical Reflection

The case studies illustrate how AI in skills development opens opportunities while reinforcing inequalities. Recruitment systems promise efficiency but may embed systemic biases. Simulation technologies enhance vocational training, but they also pose challenges of authenticity and access. Lifelong learning platforms open doors to reskilling opportunities, but mainly for the privileged to greater privilege. Such national strategies, like those of Singapore and Nigeria, underscore the growing imperative of aligning human capital development with AI-driven economies. They also indicate the conflict between state planning and individual agency.

---

[89] Shah, Dharmesh. "By the Numbers: MOOCs in 2020." Class Central, November 2020: 18. https://www.classcentral.com/report/mooc-stats-2020/.
[90] Eynon, Rebecca, and Lars-Erik Malmberg. "Lifelong Learning and AI: Opportunities and Inequalities." British Journal of Educational Technology 52, no. 1 (2021): 92. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13069.
[91] Chen, Xiangming, and Jieqiu Qiu. "The Politics of Smart Cities in China." *clark*56, no. 5 (2019): 44.
[92] Federal Ministry of Communications, Innovation & Digital Economy. National Artificial Intelligence Strategy (NAIS). Abuja: Federal Government of Nigeria, 2025: 11. https://fmcide.gov.ng/initiative/nais/.

Socio-Technical Systems Theory and Human Capital Theory, thus, can never lose relevance. Tools of recruitment, contextualised within the S-T framework, show how simulations and platforms rewrite the nexus between labour, technology, and organisations. The Human Capital framework describes reskilling as an economic response to technological upheaval. However, critiques of both theories might seem very relevant. Where the S-T approach could underplay structural inequality in access, the HCT is dangerous, as it tends to place the responsibility for adaptation on individuals without addressing the systemic obstacles in labour markets.

The case studies highlighted in this section further reinforce the fact that AI is a catalyst for innovation and a source of disruption. In education, it enhances personalisation and retention, but it also presents new risks of surveillance and inequality. It improves firms' productivity and competitiveness while, concomitantly, causing labour displacement and increasing Corporate Concentration. It has made reskilling easier to achieve and occupational mobility more accessible, but it propagates socio-economic polarisation. This ensures that the impact of AI cannot be technologically deterministic or homogeneous, but rather is mediated by cultural, institutional, and policy contexts. As such, interdependencies are illuminated by socio-technical systems theory, an adoption imperative is outlined by human capital theory, even as both must be equity-, ethics-, and sustainability-informed.

6. Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a growing force in education and industry worldwide, but the path toward integration in Nigeria has been highly uneven. The perception is that AI will facilitate personalised learning, administrative duties, and industry-related training; however, these benefits are inhibited by some social and infrastructural factors. These factors include unreliable electricity supply, high data costs, low digital literacy among instructors, and a level of scepticism shaped by cultural and religious worldviews. In such faith-based universities, artificial intelligence is often viewed as either irrelevant to moral education or a potential threat to human-centred pedagogy. Binary thinking like this is going to place Christian educators and students at a disadvantage, not because AI replaces them, but because decisions are made by those who understand AI that govern those who do not.

This study, therefore, does not recommend an approach toward AI as a replacement for teachers and preachers, or as a means of values-based learning, but rather as a tool in the ethical appropriation of reflective teaching, multilingual outreach, inclusive assessment, and classroom accessibility. Nigerian Christian universities are disciplined by structure and moral standards to adopt AI responsibly, exemplifying the principles of human dignity, contextual wisdom, and social equity before technological hype.

In addition to these barriers, issues of governance and ethics become more significant. The weaker the institution, the greater the risks of AI misuse. Without proper control, algorithmic systems might end up instilling

ISSN 2787-0308 (ONLINE)

surveillance, discrimination, and exclusion; thus, lowering the trust not only in technology but also in governance.Despite these challenges, AI will play a central role in Nigeria's future development. The question is not whether to adopt AI, but how to do so in a just, contextual, and sustainable way. Nigeria must strike a balance between realism and ambition in its pursuit of effective AI integration. The challenges, including infrastructure weakness, data system weakness, expertise inadequacies, educational imbalances, regulatory vagueness, and governance risk, are substantial but surmountable if the country removes these systemic barriers to technological dependency and introduces indigenous innovation. More significantly, AI adoption should be part of a broader framework for inclusive development, so that its transformational potential contributes not only to economic competitiveness but also to social progress and human flourishing.

Recommendations

1. Recommendations for Educators and Students

a) Place AI as an Educational Aid, Not as Teacher Substitution. Student-teachers should be allowed to use AI tools for lesson planning, creating quizzes, translating into local languages, and simulating classes, provided they understand that human judgment remains the final authority.
b) Insert awareness of AI and critical literacy in education and theology curricula. Rather than restricting the study of AI to computing or business departments, students from these disciplines should understand how AI tools generate content, where bias originates, and how to challenge misleading or unethical outputs.
c) Prioritise small AI tools that work offline with limited data: They should recommend lightweight mobile-based tools that support academic and ministerial work, such as offline transcription apps, summarisation tools, educational chatbots, and Bible concordance search systems, rather than promoting tools that depend on constant high-speed connectivity.
d) University departments should set up easy frameworks and co-create to make clear when and how AI can be used in assignments, sermon writing, classroom delivery, or research, such that it helps rather than replaces original thought.
e) Encourage community-based AI Use rather than Individualistic consumption. Given data costs and access inequalities, AI should be integrated into shared learning hubs, library kiosks, or classroom group activities, reducing the divide between students with personal devices and those without.

Policy Formulators

a) **Build Infrastructure Foundations:** It should involve investment in reliable electricity supply, broadband penetration, and local cloud

© humanusdiscourse@gmail.com , http://humanusdiscourse.website2.me

infrastructure on a public–private partnership basis that covers both rural and urban investments.

b) Build a robust data environment: Establish national guidelines for collecting, storing, and sharing data, with robust privacy protections. Integration across ministries is crucial for creating high-quality, context-relevant datasets.

c) Grow human capital: Widen postgraduate AI programmes, motivate industry-university links, and add AI literacy at all school levels. Retention plans are necessary to mitigate brain drain and attract diaspora expertise.

d) Intervene in public and rural schools to reduce educational inequalities, by subsidising digital access and teacher training in these schools, and then set up regional innovation hubs to share the fruits of AI equitably.

e) Regulatory and ethical frameworks must be clearly articulated. Independent oversight bodies should be established, while global best practices, such as the EU AI Act, should be adapted and nuanced to fit Nigerian realities. Fairness, transparency, and human rights must be guideline priorities.

f) Tax incentives and innovation grants plus low-interest loans to small and medium enterprises (SMEs) will be perceived as another way of supporting local industries. Thus, it will ensure the application of AI in areas such as agriculture, health, and finance, among others, where its impact can be highly transformative. Consequently, training should align with the realities of labour markets, particularly those in informal economies. Vocational institutions must also immediately mainstream artificial intelligence content into their curricula. Additionally, indigenous digital platforms must supplement foreign offers.

g) Develop a multi-stakeholder approach that involves government, academia, industry, and civil society in the formulation of AI policy and practice. Practice as initiators by civil society players, particularly in matters relating to accountability and inclusiveness.

© humanusdiscourse@gmail.com , http://humanusdiscourse.website2.me